



C o u n t y o f S a n L u i s O b i s p o

GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY

Janette D. Pell, Director

Cody VanDorn, Department Administrator

REQUEST FOR PRE-QUALIFICATION OF GENERAL CONTRACTORS RSOQ #1210-13 WOMEN'S JAIL EXPANSION, PROJECT NO. 300034

CLARIFICATIONS, QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Updated: April 11, 2012

Added April 9, 2013

1. **Question:** The pre-advertisement notice indicated that contractors that previously submitted an Application for Pre-Qualification in response to RSOQ #1204-12 would only have to submit a new Part IV (Organization's Recent Construction Projects) and would not have to re-submit the rest of the Application.

Answer: *This is not correct. As stated on Page 7: **Contractors that submitted a pre-qualification application for the previous request (RSOQ #1204-12) must submit a new application that meets requirements specified in this request.** Therefore, new versions of ALL sections of the Application must be submitted in response to this request (RSOQ #1210-13).*

2. **Question:** Section 3C – Experience to be evaluated (Page 14) includes proposed management team and key personnel but the Application for Pre-Qualification does not contain any sections that reference team makeup or key personnel. Should we simply include team resumes as an attachment or is there another way to address this item?

Answer: *Questions 4 and 5 in Part IV.A. (Page 30) address the required experience for the proposed Project Manager and Superintendent, respectively. Also, the Reference Project Forms in Part IV include listing of the General Contractor's Team. No additional information is required specific to team makeup or key personnel.*

3. **Question:** Questions 34 and 35 in Part III (Page 29) requires listing of a State approved apprenticeship program. As a General Contractor we do not perform any direct labor on a project and do not "intend to request the dispatch" of trades personnel including apprentices for this project. Will such a statement meet the intent for "qualification" and therefore not be a disqualifier as indicated in red.

Answer: *The Application for Pre-Qualification will be modified to allow for qualification under the conditions described. The specific modification will be addressed in a forthcoming addendum.*

Added April 10, 2013

4. **Question:** In Part IV, can one project satisfy multiple questions?

*Answer: Yes. As stated on Page 30: **The same project can be used to meet the requirements in multiple questions.***

Added April 11, 2013

5. **Question:** We have noted the requirement for an audited or reviewed financial statement by an independent California CPA. We submitted our compiled financial statements with all of our previous Sub-consultant Application. Can you please confirm that this will be acceptable?

Answer: This is NOT acceptable. As discussed in Question/Answer 1 above, a complete new Application for Pre-Qualification is required. The same financial statements can be submitted with the new application but the only information contained in the new application will be considered for pre-qualification.

6. **Question:** For Question 30 (Page 28), we have our workers compensation EMR certification for the last three years with “Zero Loss Runs” and our EMR is at 1.0. Will a notarized letter from our workers comp policy holder still be required?

*Answer: A notarized letter is not required. As stated at the end of Question 30 (Page 28): **If your EMR for any of these three years is or was 1.00 or higher you may, if you wish, attach a letter of explanation.***